

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
EASTERN DIVISION**

BRODERICK STUART SANDERS

PLAINTIFF

v.

No. 1:10CV246-D-S

RICHARD VAUGHN, ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On March 14, 2011, plaintiff Broderick Stuart Sanders, an inmate in the custody of the Mississippi Department of Corrections (inmate number 2010080364), *failed to appear* before the undersigned for a hearing as set forth in *Spears v. McCotter*, 766 F.2d 179 (5th Cir. 1985). The Prison Litigation Reform Act applies to this case because the plaintiff was incarcerated when he filed this lawsuit.¹ As the plaintiff failed to appear at the hearing, the undersigned respectfully recommends that the instant case be **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with an order of the court. FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b).

Handling of Objections, Acknowledgment of Receipt

The appropriate procedures for filing objections to these findings and recommendations are found in 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Objections must be in writing and must be filed within fourteen (14) days of this date, and “a party’s failure to file written objections to the findings, conclusions, and recommendation in a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation within [14] days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except on grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court” *Douglass v. United Services Automobile*

¹28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*)(citations omitted); *see also United States v. Carrillo-Morales*, 27 F.3d 1054, 1061-62 (5th Cir. 1994), *cert. denied*, 513 U.S. 1178, 115 S.Ct. 1163, 130 L. Ed. 1119 (1995).

The plaintiff must acknowledge receipt of this report and recommendation by signing the enclosed acknowledgment form and returning it to the clerk of the court within fourteen (14) days of this date. *Failure to comply with the requirements of this paragraph may lead to dismissal of this lawsuit under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) for failure to prosecute the claim and for failure to comply with an order of the court.*

Respectfully submitted this 29th day of June, 2011.

/s/ David A. Sanders
DAVID A. SANDERS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE